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# Introduction

The purpose of this document is to report the outcome of functional and product testing for myID as part of myIDR7 release by the Jokers team under Digital Identity Services myID.

## Document Scope

The scope of this Test Closure Memo is limited to the testing performed by the Jokers team.

## In Scope

The following work items have been tested as part of the release:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Work Item** | **Work Item Type** | **Title** | **Modified Components** |
| [**3411021**](http://atotfs01:8080/tfs/TPC01/EST/_workitems/edit/3411021) | Feature | [PI35] myGovID - Support tool - UI/UX improvements | Support Tool  Management Services |
| ...id | PBI | <List all PBIs that include a change to the system. E.g Don’t list PBIs that were investigation, testing, a poc that didn’t end up being included, ...  List each PBI in a separate row.> | ... |

## Configurations

The reviewed and approved configurations used for this work are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Configuration** | **Scope** | **Notes** |
| Android 11, Android 12, Android 13, iOS 14.5, iOS 15.2, iOS 16.0 | App FT Automation Regression | Simulators and Emulators |
| Android 13, iOS 16.0 | PT Manual Regression | Physical Devices |
| Android 13, iOS 16.0 | PT Automated Regression and Progression | Simulators and Emulators |
| Edge, Firefox | Support Tool UI Testing | Firefox and Edge are the only browsers supported on ATONet machines. The Support Tool is only used by internal ATO Staff. As such, Chrome is not tested. |
| Edge | Auth SPA | Browsers |

Configuration is not applicable for the backend tests.

## Out of Scope

Regression for the following scenarios are not covered by this TCM:

* …

## Discussion History

{% **if** finaltcm %}

* Be sure to include written evidence for out of scope test phases (approval providers may change in the future):
  + Accessibility -> provided by Product Manager or Product Owner
  + Penetration -> provided by Security Team (Security Impact Assessment)
  + Performance -> provided by Solution Architect
* Templates for the PEN and PERF assessment emails are available here: [**myGovID Template for PERF and PEN Assessments.docx**](https://atooffice.sharepoint.com/:w:/s/ESTDDDigitalIdentityProgram/EdnAsE91Q1ZKu9e06eWD6uwB5uQIvdJ1wunTe8kIg8TZhw?e=hZ1g9p)
* **Important:** If the scope of a release increases you will need to re-request the above evidence for the updated scope

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ref #** | **Issues/ Question/**  **Meeting** | **Discussion Matter** | **Personnel Contacted** |
| 1 |  |  |  |

{% endif %}

{% **if** finaltcm %}

*Authors: Check with tech lead/scrum master*

{% else %}

N/A. To be provided by SIT in final TCM.

{% endif %}

# Test Closure Statement

The following phases of testing have been covered.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Phase** | **Environment** | **Notes** |
| {%tr for tcs in tcsSummary %} | | |
| {{ tcs.PhaseName }} | {{ tcs.Environment }} | {{ tcs.Notes }} |
| {%tr endfor %} | | |

**Status Explanation:**

Status and meaning used in this document, aligning with the status used in the test automation software.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Status** | **Applies To** | **Meaning** |
| Passed | Test Cases and Test Suites | Executed and passed. |
| Failed | Test Cases | Test case was executed and failed. There is an existing or new bug. |
| Skipped | Test Cases | Test case was not run, and a reason is documented. |
| Conditional | Test Suites | Test suite includes test outcomes of either ‘Failed’ or ‘Skipped’. The outcomes are attributed to bugs that have been accepted (either for previous releases or this release). |

* 1. **Backend Functional Testing**

| Component | Suite | Link | Status | Passed | Failed | Skipped | Total |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| {%tr for bft in BackendFunctionalTesting %} | | | | | | | |
| {{ bft.ComponentName }} |  | {{ bft.suiteLink }} | {{ bft.Status }} | {{ ResultSummary.Counters.passed }} | {{ ResultSummary.Counters.failed }} | {{ ResultSummary.Counters.aborted }} | {{ ResultSummary.Counters.total }} |
| {%tr endfor %} | | | | | | | |
|  |  |  | **Total** |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Percentage** |  |  |  |  |

**Test Case Outcomes – Failed or Skipped:**

The following table lists the results of **new or modified** tests that did not pass.

Each result is linked to a work item that provides details of the issue.

Additional details are provided for each work item in section 2 (if it is an uncovered or introduced issue), or the ‘Bugs and Known Issues’ table below (if it is a known issue).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Test Case** | **Result** | **Reason** |
| {%tr for tre in TestResults %}  {% **if** tre.Outcome != “Passed” %} | | | |
| {{ tre.testcaseID  }} | {{ tre.testName  }} | Failed | TODO |
| {% endif %}  {%tr endfor %} | | | |

**Test Case Outcomes – Failed or Skipped:**

The following table lists the results of **new or modified** tests that did not pass.

Each result is linked to a work item that provides details of the issue.

Additional details are provided for each work item in section 2 (if it is an uncovered or introduced issue), or the ‘Bugs and Known Issues’ table below (if it is a known issue).

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ID** | **Test Case** | **Result** | **Reason** |
| {%tr for tde in TestRandD %}  {% **if** tde.Outcome != “Passed” %} | | | |
| {{ tde.testcaseID  }} | {{ tde.testName  }} | {{ tde.Outcome  }} | TODO |
| {% endif %}  {%tr endfor %} | | | |
|